



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231

12/01/92

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED	APPLICANT A	TTORNEY DOCKET NO.	
07/801,749	12/03/91	BEIGEL	м э	1.09	
			E	EXAMINER	
ROBERT E. MALM 16624 PEQUENO PLACE			SWANN III,	ā	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
PACIFIC PALISADES, CA 90272			26.08	7	
			DATE MAILED:		

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application

## COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

ADVISORY ACTION					
THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE:					
a) is extended to run or continues to run from the date of the final rejection					
b) expires three months from the date of the final rejection or as of the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever is later. In no event however, will the statutory period for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final rejection.					
Any extension of time must be obtained by filing a petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a), the proposed response and the appropriate fee. The date on which the response, the petition, and the fee have been filed is the date of the response and also the date for the purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. Any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.17 will be calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statutory period for response or as set forth in b) above.					
Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with 37 CFR 1.192(a).					
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed 1/1-06-92 has been considered with the following effect, but it is not deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:					
1. The proposed amendments to the claim and /or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
<ul> <li>a.          There is no convincing showing under 37 CFR 1.116(b) why the proposed amendment is necessary and was not earlier presented.     </li> </ul>					
b. They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search. (See Note).					
c. They raise the issue of new matter. (See Note).					
d. They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal.					
e.   They present additional claims without cancelling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.					
NOTE: See attached sheet					
Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
3. Upon the filing an appeal, the proposed amendment  will be entered will not be entered and the status of the claims will be as follows:					
Claims allowed:					
Claims objected to:					
However:					
Applicant's response has overcome the following rejection(s):					
4 The efficient exhibit or request for reconsideration has been reconsideration to the second					
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been considered but does not overcome the rejection because					
5. The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier					
<ol> <li>The affidavit or exhibit will not be considered because applicant has not shown good and sufficent reasons why it was not earlier presented.</li> </ol>					
☐ The proposed drawing correction ☐ has ☐ has not been approved by the examiner.					
Other					

Serial No. 07/801,749

Art Unit 2608

The new issues are as follows:

"said communication means and said receiving means being incapable of functioning simultaneously;

a means for recognizing when said receiving means is not functioning and enabling said communication means, said recognizing/enabling means enabling said communication means only if said receiving means is not functioning" (end of claim 4),

"intermittently-powered" (claim 7, line 1),

"a data entry device that enables a user by physical interactions with said data entry device to enter data into said apparatus" (claim 10, lines 3-5), and

"the storing of said alterable data being aborted if the alterability of data in said alterable memory has been permanently disabled" (end of claim 15).

SWANN:grs

25 November 1992

GLEN SWANN PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 2600